

Losing liberty

“Cities may be rebuilt, and a People reduced to Poverty may acquire fresh Property: But a Constitution of Government once changed from Freedom, can never be restored. Liberty once lost is lost forever. When the people once surrender their share in the Legislature, and their Right of defending the Limitations upon the Government, and of resisting every Encroachment upon them, they can never regain it.”

~ John Adams, in a letter to Abigail Adams, July 7, 1775

Sanctuary Sally

According to a recent report by ICE (Immigration and Customs Enforcement), in only one week, from January 28 to February 3, there were 206 criminal illegal aliens released into the population. Of these, 142 came from one location: Travis County, Texas.

Sheriff of Travis County, Sally Hernandez, aka “Sanctuary Sally,” released the 142 illegal alien criminals she was supposed to hold under an order to hand them over to ICE.

Sanctuary Sally is part of the problem; illegal alien offenders in her own county apparently don’t worry her, despite dangers posed to those in her *protection*.

She works in law enforcement but *refuses* to enforce the law!

Monday Morning Newswire

Non-partisan conservative commentary published by Hoosier Patriots, 8329 Carolwood Court, Evansville, IN 47715-4595, each Monday morning and sent by e-mail to those who subscribe. ©2017 - All Rights Reserved.

HOOSIER PATRIOTS

Jim Bratten

Subscribe through e-mail at:
hpnw.jimb@gmail.com



Public school practices Islamic instruction in Indiana

Praising Sharia Law

Islamic instruction programs used in U.S. government (public) school systems are spreading the theocratic ideology of Islam, particularly the system of Sharia Law, as acceptable to Western culture.

School children have been instructed in the Five Pillars of Islamic Faith. They are being taught to declare “There is no god but Allah.” Our students are told that “Muslims have a stronger faith than Christians.” Some studies portray Islam as superior to Christianity. The Ten Commandments are ignored.

American children dress in burqas, Islamic head scarves, and are shown how to design Islamic prayer rugs. Many students are taken on tours of mosques where they are shown how to bow to Allah and how to use prayer rugs in the mosque. They participate in the recitation of our Pledge of Allegiance in Arabic and copy the calligraphy.

In one public school in Indiana, the students completed a worksheet that praised “Sharia Law” and polygamous marriages. The worksheet, in the form of a letter, was administered to seventh graders in Indiana and Georgia and discussed traditional female dress and marriage arrangements.

Written by a fictional character, a young woman named Ahlima living in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, the letter speaks in glowing terms about the subservient role of women in Saudi society and the “protections” offered to women under Sharia Law. Polygamy is praised as desirable and arranged marriages under Sharia Law are revered. Western traditions and culture are denigrated and dismissed as inferior.

The school in Indiana found engaging in this promotion of Sharia Law to seventh grade students was Highland Hills Middle School in Georgetown. The “educators” at Highland Hills used the fictional letter from the young Muslim woman to extol the virtues of Sharia Law to impressionable young Hoosiers in the classroom. The children were taught that it is entirely acceptable for

government to dictate wearing of the burqa and that arranged marriages forced by parents is a desirable social custom.

Hoosier youngsters learned that it’s okay for a man to have up to four wives, since it offers women protection and reduces divorce rates which, as everyone knows who lives in a Western culture, unduly imposes hardship and deprivation on women.

What the lesson in Sharia fails to mention is the “lower class” that women occupy in devout Muslim nations. Islam does not place women as equals with men. In Saudi society women are forbidden to drive a vehicle and must be chaperoned by their husband or close male relative. Was there a mention in the lesson plan used at Highland Hills Middle School that women in Saudi Arabia who are discovered practicing lesbianism can be stoned to death? I don’t know.

I do know, however, that when I contacted Indiana Speaker of the House Brian Bosma to express my concerns regarding the effects of Islamic Sharia Law on the constitutional rights of citizens of Indiana, I received a response from his intern, who stated she was responding “on his behalf.”

No reason was offered *why* Indiana Senate Bill (SB) 16, which would prohibit the use of foreign law (including Sharia) in Indiana courts, failed to make it out of the Senate Committee on Judiciary before the “deadline for report approval.” So it will not advance to a vote this session.

A threat to our constitutional republic exists using Sharia Law from the “religion of peace.” But many parents are unaware their children are not being taught that. The young *are* being indoctrinated, since Islam is taught unchallenged and Christianity isn’t allowed in the classroom.

We Be People



Fingers were pointing in all directions after the debacle last Friday night – the crash of RYNOCare for lack of votes to support it.

According to Speaker Ryan at the podium Friday evening, “Obamacare is the law of the land.” His ineptitude was on full display, as was his surrender, crowned by the broken failure to keep his promise to his president, “We’ll get the votes to pass it.” (And can someone tell him that artificial deadlines are a *really* bad idea?)

The Fake News Press explained, “The Freedom Caucus refused to take ‘yes’ for an answer” and caused the “major defeat” for Trump, Ryan and the entire GOP. House Democrats cheered that the chains would be on Americans a little longer.

After seven years of Obamacare dissatisfaction and critical dissection, its flaws were known and its illegality settled (except in the Supreme Court).

Everything that touched it and the lies supporting its need to govern nearly 20% of the entire U.S. economy was entirely political. Yet Republican leadership backed away from defunding it, which they had the power to do. Most of them were elected in 2010 and 2014 to do just that: get rid of it.

For seven years they sat and did nothing but offer excuses for their inaction in designing a replacement plan. New HHS secretary Tom Price devised a plan when he was a Congressman in 2011, but it sat in the closet, ignored for the most part.

House Speaker Paul Ryan wanted to push *his* version of repeal/replace, even though polls showed only 17% of Americans supported it. Every major conservative organization was against the Ryan bill.

Ryan didn’t want to resubmit the Repeal of Obamacare (passed in both chambers of Congress and vetoed by President Obama in February, 2016) for President Trump’s signature. And he shut out the Freedom Caucus from serious discussions prior to releasing his bill publicly.

The Freedom Caucus wanted to keep promises to the People; Ryan didn’t care. It was old Washington, DC business as usual.

But Donald Trump was elected to dump the “business as usual,” Mr. Ryan...



Permeated by pervasive liberal activism

Reorient the Court

On January 29, 2017 Dick Durbin, Democrat senator from Illinois, said on *Fox News Sunday* he needed to know that President Trump’s pick for a Supreme Court justice nominee (to fill Anton Scalia’s seat) would be “in the mainstream of [American] political thought.”

Senator Durbin doesn’t care about “mainstream” anything, since he is far left; outside the American “mainstream” by definition. His only concern is that the nominee be an activist judge of the Left who believes the U.S. Constitution is a “living, breathing document.”

What each elected official of the new Socialist-Democrat Party (including Senator Durbin) does *not* believe is that a justice on the Supreme Court should not be concerned with mainstream political thought. They cannot be political, mainstream, right, or left, if they are to perform their duties to decide cases based solely on the U.S. Constitution, the foundational law of the nation. That document is NOT “living,” nor “breathing” – it is settled law, only changeable by amendment, *per the law*.

The only responsibility of Supreme Court justices is to faithfully follow the original intent of the U.S. Constitution, *as written*; hence the name, “originalist.” Not as “supposed” or “perceived” – *as written*.

Leftists like Senator Durbin cannot fathom this concept, since they view the Constitution as overly restrictive and malleable to the whims of an activist judiciary. The last president wailed on numerous occasions that the Constitution was “a charter of negative liberties.” Barack Obama’s distaste and disrespect for the U.S. Constitution is well known, as is his party’s. His dislike for the judiciary, unless he can control them, is the same as Durbin’s.

Progressives use the judiciary as a tool to accomplish their agenda, since many American voters no longer accept their policies at the ballot box. That’s their reason for liberal activist justices on the highest court in our system, and any court for that matter; to ignore the Constitution and twist laws beyond recognition. But judges and

justices who make decisions for political agendas don’t belong there.

Examples of this overreach and out-of-bounds activism are numerous and not “mainstream” in any sense of the term.

There is NO “right” stated anywhere in the Constitution to take innocent life. Throughout our Founding Documents, the very opposite is true. The “right to life” is the very *first* right confirmed in our Declaration of Independence and found throughout the Constitution. So how could it be possible that the Supreme Court, in the 1973 *Roe v Wade* decision, emphatically declared the “right to snuff out a human life,” but not the Right to Life? This decision is completely obtuse; not grounded in the Constitution or anywhere in the law. It was simply created to satisfy a *political* opinion and perceived *cultural* need.

Other outrageous rulings since have been equally nonsensical. Two years ago the Supreme Court found a “right” to alter the accepted definition of natural marriage – after thousands of years – *somewhere* in our Constitution. Then there’s the unsupported ruling that an American citizen can somehow be harmed, physically or mentally, by seeing the Ten Commandments.

American culture is being forcibly twisted beyond recognition and steeped in moral depravity by an activist court system. Democrats in the Senate such as Chuck Schumer (D-NY) now want to filibuster the confirmation vote for an “originalist” judge.

But “the people” have had enough of federal court rulings openly hostile to faith, family, and the moral norms of a civil society, legislated from the bench. They have had enough of the destruction of traditional American society and culture through the courts and said so on November 8, 2016.

It’s time to reorient the Supreme Court toward Liberty and confirm Neil Gorsuch using the “nuclear option.”

Enough!
READ THE CONSTITUTION